Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 50755 Kashi Enterprises, Inc. dba Rudys Store 10 RN102378023 Docket No. 2015-0977-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Rudys Store 10, 403 South Southeast Loop 323, Tyler, Smith County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: November 6, 2015

Comments Received: No.

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$7,875

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1,575 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$252
Total Due to General Revenue: \$6,048
Payment Plan: 24 payments of \$252 each

Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - High Site/RN - N/A

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A **Applicable Penalty Policy:** April 2014

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: May 18, 2015

Date(s) of NOE(s): June 25, 2015

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 50755 Kashi Enterprises, Inc. dba Rudys Store 10 RN102378023 Docket No. 2015-0977-PST-E

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to provide corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST") system [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)].
- 2. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1)].
- 3. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)(1)(B)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

N/A

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require the Respondent to:

- a. Immediately, begin maintaining all UST records and ensure they are made immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel.
- b. Within 30 days:
- i. Install a corrosion protection system and test the system; and
- ii. Implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility.
- c. Within 45 days, submit written certification to demonstrate compliance with a. and b.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 50755 Kashi Enterprises, Inc. dba Rudys Store 10 RN102378023 Docket No. 2015-0977-PST-E

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Tiffany Maurer, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 6, MC 128, (512) 239-2696; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-1456

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

. . .

Respondent: Nizamuddin Yousuf, President, Kashi Enterprises, Inc., 5006 Paluxy

Dr., Tyler, Texas 75703

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) PCW Revision March 26, 2014 DATES Assigned 29-Jun-2015 PCW 26-Aug-2015 Screening 30-Jun-2015 **EPA** Due RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Kashi Enterprises, Inc. dba Rudys Store 10 Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN102378023 Facility/Site Region 5-Tyler Major/Minor Source Minor CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 50755 No. of Violations 3 Docket No. 2015-0977-PST-E Order Type 1660 Government/Non-Profit No Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator Tiffany Maurer EC's Team Enforcement Team 6 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$25,000

	Penalty Calculation Section		
TOTAL BASE PENALT	Y (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1	\$8,750
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-	TO SUBTOTAL 1		
Compliance Histo	ned by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. -10.0% Reduction Subto	otals 2, 3, & 7	-\$875
Notes	Reduction for high performer classification.		
Culpability	0.0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.		
Good Faith Effort	to Comply Total Adjustments	Subtotal 5	\$0
	in the following state of Compliance \$8,000 O.0% Enhancement* *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount state of Compliance \$8,000	Subtotal 6	\$0
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	1-7 F	inal Subtotal	\$7,875
OTHER FACTORS AS Reduces or enhances the Final Su	JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0% btotal by the indicated percentage.	Adjustment	\$0
Notes			
	Final Per	nalty Amount	\$7,875
STATUTORY LIMIT A	DJUSTMENT Final Asse	ssed Penalty	\$7,875
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Penalt	y by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)	Adjustment	-\$1,575
Notes	Deferral offered for expedited settlement.	-	
PAYABLE PENALTY		J .	\$6,300

Docket No. 2015-0977-PST-E

PCW
Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
PCW Revision March 26, 2014

Respondent Kashi Enterprises, Inc. dba Rudys Store 10

Case ID No. 50755

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102378023

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Tiffany Maurer

Compliance History Worksheet

Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	ł .	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Ple T	ease Enter Yes or No I	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
epeat Violator (Adjustment Per	rcentage (Sub	total 2)
epeat violator i	· ·	rcentage (Sub	total 3)
ompliance Hist	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
High Per	former Adjustment Pel	rcentage (Sub	total 7)
ompliance Hist	ory Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Reduction for high performer classification.		
	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (Subtotale 2	2 & 7) [

Screening Date		PCW
Case ID No.	Kashi Enterprises, Inc. dba Rudys Store 10 50755 PCW F	Revision March 26, 2014
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102378023	,
	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinator Violation Number		
Rule Cite(s)		
	30 Tex. Admin. Code 9 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code 9 20.3475(d)	
· ·		
Violation Description	Failed to provide corrosion protection for the underground storage tank ("UST")	
	system.	
	Dana Danaka	+2F 000
***************************************	Base Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix	
Release	Harm Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actual		
Potential	X Percent 15.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
	Percent 0.0%	

	or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels rotective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	***************************************
10000		***************************************
	Adjustment \$21,250	
		¢2.750
90000		\$3,750
Violation Events		***************************************
Number of \	/iolation Events 1 Number of violation days	
unananan		
	daily daily weekly	шшшш
·	monthly	***************************************
mark only one with an x	quarterly X Violation Base Penalty	\$3,750
onnumer.	semiannual annual	***************************************
annannan	single event Charles	YOWOTO NAME
annum		111111111111111111111111111111111111111
One quarter	ly event is recommended from the May 18, 2015 investigation date to the June 30,	Wellhaltende
WHITE THE PARTY OF	2015 screening date.	
Good Faith Efforts to Com	ply 0.0% Reduction	\$0
	Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	42
renework	Extraordinary	
STATE OF THE STATE	Ordinary N/A X (mark with x)	-
**Control on the control on the cont		
	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
reassassassas		
	Violation Subtotal	\$3,750
Commis Description	· · ·	
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estimate	ed EB Amount \$262 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$3,375
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$3,375

	E	conomic I	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	Kashi Enterpri	ses, Inc. dba Rudy	s Store 10				
Case ID No.		,					
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		}					
	Petroleum Sto						Years of
Violation No.	8					Percent Interest	Depreciation
violation no.	1					5.0	15
	Thom Coak	Date Required	Final Date	V	Tatavast Cavad	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
			rillai Date	115	Tillerest Saveu	Offerine Costs	ED AIIIUUIIL
Item Description	No commas or \$						
•				6555555665666			
Delayed Costs		v i		7	7.2	1222	
Equipment	\$6,000	18-May-2015	1-Jan-2016	0.62	\$12	\$250	\$262
Buildings		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction Land				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0 \$0	1//a n/a	\$0 \$0
Training/Sampling		 		0.00	\$0 \$0	1/8 n/a	\$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal		1		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs		investigation da	ite, and the fin	al date	is the estimated d	ystem. The date red ate of compliance. for one-time avoid	
Avoided Costs Disposal	AIIIIOAL	LECTITIONOIGE	costs pelule	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
spection/Reporting/Sampling		1		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	e Hillian			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs				:			
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$6,000			TOTAL		\$262

Screening Da	te 30-Jun-2015 Docket No. 2015-0977-PST-E nt Kashi Enterprises, Inc. dba Rudys Store 10	PCW
Case ID N		evision March 26, 2014
Reg. Ent. Reference N		,,,,,,,, .
	e] Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinat Violation Numb		
Rule Cite		
	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1)	
Violation Descripti	Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month	
violation bescripti	(not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring).	
	Base Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environmental, Pro	perty and Human Health Matrix	
Relea	Harm ase Major Moderate Minor	
	rual Ploacrate Finish	он 1
Poten	tial Percent 15.0%	
>>Programmatic Matri		LULLUL AND
Falsificati		
HYBER HYBER HYBER	Percent 0.0%	
	alth or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels	
Notes that a	re protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	3
	*** . *	
	Adjustment \$21,250	
	[\$3,750
Violation Events		
Number	of Violation Events 1 43 Number of violation days	
	daily	***************************************
	weekly	
mark only o	monthly Violation Base Penalty	\$3,750
with an x	quarterly X Violation Base Penalty semiannual	\$3,730
	annual	
	single event	
One qua	rterly event is recommended from the May 18, 2015 investigation date to the June 30, 2015 screening date.	
Good Faith Efforts to C	omply Reduction	\$0
	Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Extraordinary	
	Ordinary N/A X (mark with x)	
	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
- consistence	Violation Subtotal	\$3,750
Economic Benefit (EB)	for this violation Statutory Limit Test	
Esti	mated EB Amount \$47 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$3,375
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$3,375

		conomic	Benefit \	NO	rksheet		
Respondent	**********	ses, Inc. dba Rudy					
Case ID No.		505, 11.01 050 1100,	,000.010				
g. Ent. Reference No.							
	Petroleum Sto						Years of
Violation No.		nage raint				Percent Interest	Depreciation
Floración 140.	-					5.0	15
	Thom Cont	Date Required	Final Date	V	Tatavast Cavad	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
			rmai Date	115	Tillerest Javeu	Onetime Costs	ED AINOUNT
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs	 	11		~ ~~	40	40	40
Equipment Buildings		1		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)		 		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Engineering/Construction		 		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Land		1		0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System		1		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling		1		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
				U.UU		100	\$U I
Other (as needed)	\$1,500	18-May-2015	1-Jan-2016	0.62	\$47	n/a	\$47
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co	ost to implement a the investigation	1-Jan-2016 release detection date, and the firm	0.62 In met nal dat	\$47 thod for all USTs a te is the estimated	n/a t the Facility. The da I date of compliance	\$47 ate required is
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	Estimated co	ost to implement a the investigation	1-Jan-2016 release detection date, and the fir costs before e	0.62 In met nal dat	\$47 thod for all USTs a te is the estimated ng item (except i	n/a t the Facility. The da date of compliance for one-time avoic	\$47 ate required is led costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal	Estimated co	ost to implement a the investigation	1-Jan-2016 release detection date, and the fir costs before e	n met nal dat nterir	\$47 thod for all USTs are is the estimated ing item (except) \$0	n/a t the Facility. The da date of compliance for one-time avoid	\$47 hate required is led costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated co	ost to implement a the investigation	1-Jan-2016 release detection date, and the fir costs before e	0.62 on met nal dat nterir 0.00 0.00	\$47 thod for all USTs a te is the estimated ng item (except \$0 \$0	n/a t the Facility. The date of compliance for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	\$47 ate required is led costs) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel ection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated co	ost to implement a the investigation	release detection date, and the firecosts before e	0.62 on met nal dat nterir 0.00 0.00	\$47 chod for all USTs a ce is the estimated ig item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a t the Facility. The date of compliance for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$47 ate required is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel ection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	Estimated co	ost to implement a the investigation	release detection date, and the firecosts before e	0.62 in met nal dat nterir 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$47 Thod for all USTs are is the estimated so	n/a t the Facility. The date of compliance for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$47 ate required is led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel ection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated co	ost to implement a the investigation	release detection date, and the fire costs before e	0.62 in met nal dat mterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$47 thod for all USTs a te is the estimated s0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	t the Facility. The date of compliance for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$47 ate required is . led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel ection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] DNE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated co	ost to implement a the investigation	release detection date, and the fire costs before e	0.62 in met nal dat nterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$47 thod for all USTs at the estimated so	t the Facility. The date of compliance for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$47 ate required is . led costs
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel ection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated co	ost to implement a the investigation	release detection date, and the fire costs before e	0.62 in met nal dat mterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$47 thod for all USTs a te is the estimated s0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	t the Facility. The date of compliance for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$47 ate required is . led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel section/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	Estimated co	ost to implement a the investigation	release detection date, and the fire costs before e	0.62 in met nal dat nterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$47 thod for all USTs at the estimated so	t the Facility. The date of compliance for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$47 ate required is . led costs
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Dection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated co	ost to implement a the investigation	release detection date, and the fire costs before e	0.62 in met nal dat nterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$47 thod for all USTs at the estimated so	t the Facility. The date of compliance for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$47 ate required is . led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$

Screening Date		PCW
Case ID No.	Kashi Enterprises, Inc. dba Rudys Store 10 50755	W Revision March 26, 2014
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		
Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinator Violation Number	Tiffany Maurer 3	
Rule Cite(s)		
	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)(1)(B)	
	 Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspectio	n
Violation Description	upon request by agency personnel.	
The state of the s		
	Base Penalt	ty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Prope	ty and Human Health Matrix	
	Harm	
Release OR Actual	Major Moderate Minor	***************************************
Potential	Percent 0.0%	777
_		
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	-
	X Percent 5.0%	
		_
Matrix Matrix	100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
Notes	100 % of the rule requirement was not free.	
<u> </u>		-
	Adjustment \$23,75	<u>:0</u>]
		\$1,250
Violation Events		
Number of '	/iolation Events 1 1 1 Number of violation days	
*	daily	
The state of the s	weekly	
mark only one	monthly constant	14.050
with an x	quarterly Violation Base Penalt semiamual	y \$1,250
THE COLUMN TO TH	annual remaining	
	single event X	00000
		7
	One single event is recommended,	
Good Faith Efforts to Com	ply 0.0% Reduction	n \$0
	Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Extraordinary	P ***
The state of the s	Ordinary	data
	Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
		1000
	Violation Subtota	\$1,250
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estimat	ed EB Amount \$16 Violation Final Penalty Tota	\$1,125
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits	\$1,125
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent Case ID No.	Kashi Enterpri	ses, Inc. dba Rud					
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	\$						
	Petroleum Sto	rage Tank				Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	3						Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$500	18-May-2015	1-Jan-2016	0.62	\$16	n/a	\$16
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	ļ	<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	ļ	<u> </u>		0.00	\$0 \$0	<u>n/a</u> n/a	\$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co	and the second of the second o		.*	The date required ed date of compliant	l is the investigation ince.	date, and the
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enterir	ng item (except	for one-time avoic	led costs)
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling		1		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$500			TOTAL		\$16
Approx. cost of compliance	L	φ300			IVIAL		\$10

The TCEQ is committed to accessibility. To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



TCEQ Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN601552169, RN102378023, Rating Year 2014 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2009, through August 31, 2014.

Customer, Respondent, CN601552169, Kashi Enterprises, Inc.

Classification: HIGH

Rating: 0.00

or Owner/Operator: Regulated Entity:

RN102378023, RUDYS STORE 10

Classification: NOT APPLICABLE

Rating: N/A

Complexity Points:

N/A

Repeat Violator: N/A

CH Group:

14 - Other

Location:

403 S SE LOOP 323 TYLER, TX 75702-8647, SMITH COUNTY

TCEQ Region:

REGION 05 - TYLER

ID Number(s): PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION REGISTRATION 62690

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2009 to August 31, 2014

Rating Year: 2014

Rating Date: 09/01/2014

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: June 30, 2015

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: June 30, 2010 to June 30, 2015

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Tiffany Maurer

Phone: (512) 239-2696

Site and Owner/Operator History:

3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

YES YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

Kashi Enterprises, Inc. OPERATOR since 1/1/2015

MRP PROPERTIES LLC OWNER since 1/1/1800

Rudisill Properties, LLC OWNER OPERATOR since 3/1/2013

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

owner(s)/operator(s)?

Runnin Rudy's, LLC., OPERATOR, 10/30/2004 to 2/28/2013 Rudys Store #10, LLC, OWNER, 10/30/2004 to 2/28/2013

5) If **YES**, when did the change(s) in owner or operator 3/1/2013

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees: N/A

- B. Criminal convictions: N/A
- C. Chronic excessive emissions events: N/A
- D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

July 18, 2012

(1009942)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred. N/A

- F. Environmental audits: N/A
- G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs): N/A
- H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates: N/A
- I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program: N/A
- J. Early compliance: N/A Sites Outside of Texas: N/A



TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
KASHI ENTERPRISES, INC. DBA	§	
RUDYS STORE 10	· §	
RN102378023	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2015-0977-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On _______, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Kashi Enterprises, Inc. dba Rudys Store 10 ("Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 403 South Southeast Loop 323 in Tyler, Smith County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's three underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about June 30, 2015.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Seven Thousand Eight Hundred Seventy-Five Dollars (\$7,875) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Two Hundred Fifty-Two

Dollars (\$252) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Five Hundred Seventy-Five Dollars (\$1,575) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Six Thousand Forty-Eight Dollars (\$6,048) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 24 monthly payments of Two Hundred Fifty-Two Dollars (\$252) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to provide corrosion protection for the UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(1) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d), as documented during an investigation conducted on May 18, 2015.

Kashi Enterprises, Inc. dba Rudys Store 10 DOCKET NO. 2015-0977-PST-E Page 3

- 2. Failed to monitor the USTs for releases at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring), in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(A) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(c)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on May 18, 2015.
- 3. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)(1)(B), as documented during an investigation conducted on May 18, 2015.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Kashi Enterprises, Inc. dba Rudys Store 10, Docket No. 2015-0977-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, begin maintaining all UST records and ensure they are made immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10.
 - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
 - i. Install a corrosion protection system and test the system, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49; and
 - ii. Implement a release detection method for all USTs at the Facility, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50.
 - c. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation

Kashi Enterprises, Inc. dba Rudys Store 10 DOCKET NO. 2015-0977-PST-E Page 4

including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a. and 2.b. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager Tyler Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2916 Teague Drive Tyler, Texas 75701-3734

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

Kashi Enterprises, Inc. dba Rudys Store 10 DOCKET NO. 2015-0977-PST-E Page 5

- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 7. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 8. The effective date of this Order is the date it is signed by the Commission. A copy of this fully executed Order shall be provided to each of the parties.

Kashi Enterprises, Inc. dba Rudys Store 10

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	Date
For the Executive Director	1/ 7 /16 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the atta agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the en do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein accepting payment for the penalty amount, is material	atity indicated below my signature, and I . I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in
 I also understand that failure to comply with the Orde and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications sultered and this case to the Attorney General's General additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to the Increased penalties in any future enforcement Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Orand TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law 	result in: omitted; Office for contempt, injunctive relief, o a collection agency; actions; ffice of any future enforcement actions;
In addition, any falsification of any compliance docum	nents may result in criminal prosecution.
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of	Date Vrendest Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.